

05 0221-72

21 June 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: DCOS, [REDACTED]

25X1A

SUBJECT : Report on Summary on CI Conference

1. The summary has been around the horn now and has been seen by DTR, DDTR and SA/CD. I am sending you herewith a Xerox of the cover sheet with their comments. While I have no great missionary motivation to see something done for the sake of doing it, I am a little put off by the apparent lack of any intentions expressed by any of those who have seen the paper. I am curious to know what, if any, value it may have been to you. Despite the final paragraph and the inference that nothing necessarily need be done, it seems too bad to have wasted two days of 15 persons' time and not come to any conclusions that are of use to Training.


2. As far as I am concerned, I don't care what happens to CI training in the Staff Training Branch because I think that it is really not pertinent to case officers anyway. But lest this topic simply die through lack of attention, I would be interested in hearing your reactions to the paper.

25X1A

[REDACTED]  
Chief, Operations School/TR

Att

CONFIDENTIAL



☐ UNCLASSIFIED ☐ INTERNAL ONLY ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☒ SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 : CIA-RDP78-04493A000100020023-5  
ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Summary of CI Conference

FROM:

C/OS/TR  
816 C of C

EXTENSION

NO.

DTR-7548

DATE

22 May 1972

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

DDTR

2.

SA/CD (Info + comm +)

3/31

6/17

3.

DTR

has seen

4.

6 June

5.

To DTR:

6.

A devilish problem - because

we will undoubtedly be

referred to the CI staff

for a resolution of

these questions; yet, that

staff does not have

the vision of ops people

in the geographic divisions

and its views are not

shared. ALAN is right - CI

should be a part of ops org.

and, in my opinion,

except for a few

people & special CI courses

are not really necessary

but we'll need well

this to the CI staff. This

is necessary! AM

13.

C/OS

14.

15.

I think that acceptance of the conclusions & subsequent changes in our training would need further discussion with the US as noted in Alan's last conclusions. The conclusions are realistic - #10, sub-para d. strikes me as the least so and perhaps the hardest to achieve.

AM

Questions I see re para 11:

a) if CI is to be important in BOC & AOC, what becomes of our CI courses?

b) ops security, per conference, is less a training problem than a problem of sufficient emphasis by senior CS managers, not just the COS.

c) we now have a "hostile" CI problem in AOC.

d) better objectives for, and evaluation of, BOC training sessions should be considered.

Approved For Release 2001/08/08 : CIA-RDP78-04493A000100020023-5

# MISSING PAGE

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT MISSING PAGE(S):

Attachment